Adsorption–Desorption Behavior of Copper at Contaminated Levels in Red Soils from China

S. Yu, Z. L. He,* C. Y. Huang, G. C. Chen, and D. V. Calvert

ABSTRACT

Adsorption-desorption of copper (Cu2+) at contaminated levels in two red soils was investigated. The red soil derived from the Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolinitic thermic plinthite Aqualt) (REQ) adsorbed more Cu2+ than the red soil developed on the Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept) (RAR). The maximum adsorption values (M_{Λ}) that are obtained from the simple Langmuir model were 25.90 and 20.17 mmol Cu2+ kg-1 soil, respectively, for REQ and RAR. Adsorption of Cu2+ decreased soil pH, by 0.8 unit for the REQ soil and 0.6 unit for the RAR soil at the highest loadings. The number of protons released per Cu2+ adsorbed increased sigmoidally with increasing initial Cu2+ concentration for the RAR soil, but the relationship was almost linear for the REQ soil. The RAR soil released about 2.57 moles of proton per mole of Cu²⁴ adsorbed at the highest Cu2+ loading and the corresponding value for the REQ soil was 1.12. The distribution coefficient (K_d) decreased exponentially with increasing Cu2+ loading. Most of the adsorbed Cu2+ in the soils was readily desorbed in the NH₄Ac. After five successive extractions with 1 mol L⁻¹ NH₄Ac (pH 5.0), 61 to 95% of the total adsorbed Cu2+ in the RAR soil was desorbed and the corresponding value for the REQ soil was 85 to 92%, indicating that the RAR soil had a greater affinity for Cu²⁺ than the REQ soil at low levels of adsorbed Cu2+.

COPPER enters agricultural ecosystems through applications of Cu-containing fungicides, stable manures (e.g., pig slurry), and liquid or solid wastes from Cu-related mining and manufacturing. Worldwide, approximately 70 000 Mg of Cu as Bordeaux mixture [a Cu-containing fungicide: Ca(OH)₂ + CuSO₄] is annually sprayed on fruit orchards and other crops (Baker, 1990). The accumulated Cu concentrations in agricultural soils have reached 110 to 1500 mg kg⁻¹, compared with 20 to 30 mg kg⁻¹ background levels (Baker, 1990). Copper concentrations have reached contaminating levels in soils from old vineyards in France (Delas, 1963, cited by Mocquot et al., 1996) and old citrus groves in the USA (Reuther and Smith, 1953).

Red soils are a type of highly weathered variablecharge soil with a low pH value. They are widely distributed in southern China and other subtropical regions and contain great amounts of Fe and Al oxides and kaolinite. However, these soils have a relatively low affinity and low adsorption capacity for Cu²⁺, as compared with lateritic soils or Oxisols found in the tropical regions, which have more crystalline Fe and Al oxides and kaolinite. In addition, the adsorbed Cu^{2+} in the red soils is more readily released, probably due to low organic matter (Yu, 1981; Wu, 1989). In recent years, Cu contamination to soils has become an increasing concern in China due to rapid development of Cu-related industries such as mines and smelters. Copper toxicity to rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) plants and other crops has been reported to occur around the mining sites and smelters in the red soil regions.

Copper, like other microelements, is essential to plants, animals, and microorganisms, but is toxic when its concentration exceeds a certain critical level (Baker, 1990). Total Cu concentration in soil solution is normally low (0.01–0.6 μ mol L⁻¹), due to copper's high affinity to organic and inorganic soil colloids. Soluble copper at concentrations > 1.5 to 4.5 μ mol L⁻¹ causes damage and even death to roots of growing plants (Baker, 1990). A significant decrease in root and leaf biomass of young maize (Zea mays L.) was observed at 10 μ mol Cu²⁺ L⁻¹ in solution culture (Mocquot et al., 1996). To control heavy metal contamination to soil and food, the USEPA and the European Union have established maximum heavy metal limits for soil and for industry by-products such as biosolids and composts to be applied to fields. The current soil cleanup criteria for Cu in the USA is 600 mg kg⁻¹ (USEPA, 1999), and the upper limit of total soil Cu set by the European Union for receiving Cu-containing sewage sludge is 140 mg kg⁻¹ (Department of Environment, The Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, 1993).

There are two types of minerals that are involved in the adsorption-desorption of Cu²⁺ in soils: permanent charge and variable charge. Permanent-charge minerals such as montmorillonite carry a negative charge as a result of ion substitution during the formation of the minerals. Variable-charge minerals such as Fe, Mn, and Al oxides carry charges varying from negative to positive, depending on pH. Adsorption and desorption of Cu^{2+} in soil are affected by the proportion of these two types of minerals. Moreover, the Fe, Al, and Mn oxides have a relatively strong affinity (pH dependent) for Cu²⁺ and other heavy metal cations and the adsorption of Cu2+ on these oxides is considered to be inner-sphere complex through a chemisorption process (Bertsch and Seaman, 1999). Therefore, adsorption of Cu²⁺ in the variable-charge soils is generally pH dependent (Atanassova, 1995; Atanassova and Okazaki, 1997; McBride, 1981; Wang et al., 1995). On the other hand, soil organic

Published in J. Environ. Qual. 31:1129-1136 (2002).

S. Yu, Z.L. He, C.Y. Huang, and G.C. Chen, Dep. of Resource Science, College of Environmental and Resource Sciences, Huajiachi Campus, Zhejiang Univ., Hangzhou, China 310029. D.V. Calvert and Z.L. He (current address), Univ. of Florida, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Indian River Research and Education Center, 2199 S. Rock Road, Fort Pierce, FL 34945-3138. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Series no. R-08577. Received 25 June 2001. *Corresponding author (zhe@mail.ifas.ufl.edu).

Abbreviations: C, equilibrium concentration of dissolved ion; K_d , distribution coefficient; M_A , maximum adsorption level; q, adsorbed concentration; RAR, red soil developed on Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept); REQ, red soil developed on Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolinitic thermic plinthite Aquult).

matter has a strong affinity for Cu^{2+} at low levels of Cu^{2+} (Buffle, 1988). Recently, Alcacio et al. (2001) provided spectroscopic evidence for the hypothesis proposed by McBride (1994) for possible binding configurations of Cu^{2+} on complexes of oxide minerals and organic matter: (i) Cu^{2+} is bonded to the mineral surfaces only (inner-sphere complex); (ii) Cu^{2+} is bonded to the organic matter that is adsorbed by the oxides at high levels (Type B ternary complex); and (iii) Cu^{2+} acts as a bridge cation between the oxides and the organic matter that is adsorbed at low levels (Type A ternary complex). Therefore, Fe, Al, and Mn oxides and organic matter are considered to play a very important role in the adsorption–desorption of Cu^{2+} in the variable-charge soils.

For most agricultural soils, bioavailability of Cu^{2+} is controlled by adsorption–desorption process (Xie, 1996). Remediation of Cu-contaminated soils requires an understanding of Cu^{2+} adsorption–desorption behavior and the major factors. Adsorption of Cu^{2+} in soils is often coupled with proton release (Padmanabham, 1983; Wang et al., 1995; Wu, 1989; Wu and Chen, 1983). A significant drop of solution pH has been reported to accompany Cu^{2+} adsorption, H⁺ being replaced by Cu^{2+} (Aoyama et al., 1993; Aoyama and Itaya, 1995; Speir et al., 1999). Soil components vary greatly in their adsorption capacity for Cu^{2+} . Adsorption capacity decreases in the order: organic matter > Fe, Al, and Mn oxides >>> clay minerals (Adediran and Kramer, 1987, cited by Baker, 1990).

Adsorption of Cu²⁺ on soil and soil components can be described by a linear (McLaren et al., 1990), a Langmuir, or a Freundlich adsorption model (Wu, 1989; Atanassova, 1995; Atanassova and Okazaki, 1997). Although there are some different opinions regarding the use of Freundlich and Langmuir models for interpreting the adsorption of metal cations in soils (Sparks, 1995), some parameters from these models, such as maximum adsorption (M_A) and the distribution coefficient $(K_d =$ q/C, where q is the amount of the adsorbed Cu²⁺ and C is the equilibrium Cu²⁺ concentration in solution), are useful in characterizing Cu²⁺ adsorption in soils (Atanassova, 1995; Wang et al., 1995; Basta and Tabatabai, 1992). However, most of the previous studies were conducted on other types of soils or pure oxide minerals using low concentrations of Cu^{2+} or a single rate of Cu^{2+} , and the obtained information may not be transferable to variable-charge soils, particularly those at high levels of Cu contamination.

A number of extractants including 0.1 mol HCl L^{-1} , 0.01 mol CaCl₂ L^{-1} (Sauve et al., 1997), Mehlich 3 reagent (Mehlich, 1984; Grazebisz et al., 1997), 1 mol NH₄Ac L^{-1} (pH 4.8) (Sakal et al., 1984; Brun et al., 2001), DTPA (Bertoni et al., 2000; Brun et al., 2001), and EDTA (Schramel et al., 2000; Brun et al., 2001) have been proposed for use in estimating the relationships between plant uptake of Cu and the extractable amount of soil Cu. Among frequently used extractants, 1 mol NH₄Ac L^{-1} is most widely used for measuring soil Cu availability due to its extractable Cu being closely correlated with plant uptake of Cu. However, 0.01 mol CaCl₂ L^{-1} is often employed for characterizing desorption of Cu^{2+} in soils (McLaren and Crawford, 1974; Cavallaro and McBride, 1984; Atanassova, 1995). Information is lacking on the relationship between the bioavailability of Cu to plants and the adsorption-desorption characteristics of Cu^{2+} in soils.

The overall objective of this study is to quantify Cu^{2+} adsorption–desorption behavior and soil pH change in two acidic soils at contaminated levels of Cu^{2+} . Adsorption isotherms were measured and modeled at relatively high Cu concentrations. Proton release and pH changes during Cu^{2+} adsorption were determined to understand adsorption–desorption mechanisms, including H⁺–Cu²⁺ exchange stoichiometry. A 1 mol NH₄Ac L⁻¹ (pH 5.0) solution was used to extract a portion of the adsorbed Cu in an attempt to evaluate the relationship between the potential Cu^{2+} availability and the adsorbed Cu.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soils

Two red acidic soils were used in this study: the RAR soil (clayey, mixed siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept), derived from Arenaceous rock, and the REQ soil (clayey, kaolinitic thermic plinthite Aquult), developed on Quaternary red earths. Soil samples were collected at 0 to 20 cm from Longyou County (119°02'~120°20' E, 28°44'~29°17' N), Zhejiang Province, southeastern China. Composite samples of the soils were air-dried, ground, and passed through a 60-mesh sieve prior to use. Some basic physicochemical properties of the soils are given in Table 1. Based on X-ray diffraction analysis of powder samples, the dominant clay minerals in both soils were kaolinite, iron and aluminum oxides, and quartz. However, the RAR soil contained also small amounts of chlorite and illite. Both soils contained considerable amounts of crystalline and noncrystalline Fe and Al oxides (Table 1). The RAR soil had significantly greater amounts of exchangeable H⁺ and Al³⁺, consistent with its lower pH, but the REQ soil had a greater cation exchange capacity (CEC) (Table 1).

Soil pH was measured using a pH meter (Mettler Toledo [Columbus, OH] MP120) at a soil to solution ratio of 1:2.5 in both deionized water and 1 mol KCl L⁻¹. Soil organic carbon was determined by the modified Tinsley method (Tinsley, 1950). Total exchangeable acidity and exchangeable Al³⁺ and H^+ were determined by the 1 mol KCl L^{-1} extraction-titration method (Liu et al., 1996). Particle size distribution was measured by the hydrometer method (Liu et al., 1996). The CEC and exchangeable bases were determined using 1 mol NH_4Cl L^{-1} (pH 7.0) following the procedure described by Lu (2000). Exchangeable Cu was extracted by 0.1 mol HCl L⁻¹ at a soil to solution ratio of 1:5 (Lu, 2000) and total Cu in the soil sample was determined by HF-HClO₄ digestion method (Tessier et al., 1979). The concentrations of Cu in the extract or digest were measured using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) in an acetylene-air flame (Shimadzu [Kyoto, Japan] AA6800). Contents of free Fe and Al oxides in the soils were measured by the citrate-bicarbonate-dithionite method, and amorphous Fe and Al oxides by the ammonium oxalate method (pH 3.2) (Lu, 2000). The concentrations of Fe and Al in the extracts were simultaneously determined by the colorimetric method proposed by Xu and Chen (1980). The specific surface areas (internal, external, and total) were estimated by the method of Lu (2000), which involves measuring glycerol adsorption on soil samples heated to 110°C (total surface area) or 600°C (external surface area).

Adsorption of Copper Ion and Proton Release

Portions of 2.0 g air-dried soil were placed into 100-mL polypropylene centrifuge tubes, and 50 mL of 0.01 mol NaNO3 L⁻¹ (pH 5.0) solution containing 0, 15.75, 31.50, 78.74, 157.48, 314.96, 629.92, and 1259.84 µmol Cu²⁺ L⁻¹ (equal to 0, 25, 50, 125, 250, 500, 1000, and 2000 mg Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹soil) [as Cu(NO₃)₂] were added to each tube. The suspensions were shaken at 200 rpm for 2 h at 25°C and then equilibrated in a dark incubator for an additional 22 h. No pH control was imposed. At the end of the designated time, the suspensions were centrifuged at 2500 \times g relative centrifugal force for 10 min and filtered through 0.45-µm filter paper (Fisherbrand, Pittsburgh, PA). Ten milliliters of the filtrate were transferred into a 10-mL polypropylene centrifuge tube for measuring Cu24 concentration using atomic absorption spectrometry. Total amounts of adsorbed $Cu^{24}(q)$ were calculated by the difference between the total applied Cu²⁺ and the soluble Cu²⁺ in the equilibrium solution.

The amounts of protons released during Cu^{2+} adsorption were quantified by titrating another 10 mL of the filtrate with standardized NaOH solution (0.004 mol NaOH L⁻¹, diluted from 0.02 mol NaOH L⁻¹ freshly prepared and standardized before use). The remaining solution was used for measuring pH.

Desorption of Adsorbed Copper Ion by 1 mol $NH_4Ac L^{-1}$ (pH 5.0)

The residue of Cu^{2+} -enriched soil separated from the supernatant solution by centrifugation, from the above adsorption experiment, was rinsed several times with 95% ethanol (vortexing and shaking at 200 rpm for 15 min and centrifuging for 10 min at 2500 × g relative centrifugal force) to remove Cu^{2+} in the entrapped solution until no Cu^{2+} was detected. The

Table 1. Dasic properties of the fested so
--

Item	RAR soil	REQ soil	
nH (H ₂ O)/(1 mol 1, ¹ KCl)	4.86/3.96	5.39/4.33	
Total organic carbon, g C kg ⁻¹	6.65	9.03	
Exchangeable acidity, mmol H ⁺ kg ⁻¹			
Total	41.09	15.20	
11+	4.15	0.95	
1/3 Al ³⁴	36.93	14.25	
Cation exchange canacity and cmol kg ⁻¹	12.12	15.99	
Exchangeable bases, mmol kg ⁻¹			
Total	77.31	120.04	
$1/2 Ca^{2+}$	67.88	101.96	
1/2 Mg ²⁺	6.79	15.44	
K*	2,64	2.64	
Na*	ND\$	ND\$	
Background levels of Cu, mg kg ⁻¹	•	•	
Total Cu	28.56	85.51	
Exchangeable Cu (0.1 mol L ⁻¹ HCl, 1:5)	1.83	32.34	
Partical components, g kg ⁻¹			
Clay ($< 0.002 \text{ mm}$)	421.0	483.4	
Silt (>0.002 and <0.05 mm)	350.1	261.9	
Sand $(>0.05 \text{ mm})$	228.8	254.7	
Oxides of Fe and Al, g kg ⁻¹			
Free Fe oxide (Fe ₂ O ₂)	60.36	52.45	
Free Al oxide (Al ₂ O ₃)	24.64	35.57	
Amornhous Fe oxide (Fe ₂ O ₃)	0.99	1.47	
Amorphous Al oxide (Al ₂ O ₂)	1.19	1.53	
Specific surface area, m ² kg ⁻¹			
Internal	17 294	11 521	
External	3 390	5 959	
Total	20 684	17 480	

* RAR soil = red soil developed on Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed, siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept), REQ soil = red soil developed on Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolintic thermic plinthite Aqualt).
* Not detected.

mass balance was performed for each tube and the lost weight was recorded. Fifty milliliters of 1 mol NH₄Ac L⁻¹ (pH 5.0) were added to each tube containing the Cu-enriched soil residue. The suspensions were shaken at 200 rpm for 2 h at 25°C and equilibrated for an additional 10 h. The equilibrated suspensions were then centrifuged at $2500 \times g$ relative centrifugal force for 10 min and then filtered. Ten milliliters of the filtrate were pipetted into a 10-mL polypropylene centrifuge tube for measuring Cu²⁺ concentration. In order to estimate the affinity of Cu in soils, the desorption process was repeated five times (D1 to D5). The non-extractable fraction of the adsorbed Cu²⁺ in soils was obtained by the difference between the total adsorbed Cu²⁺ and the total recovered Cu²⁺ by five successive extractions with the NH₄Ac solution (pH 5.00 \pm 0.02).

Statistical Analysis

All data were processed by Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, 2000), and the regression of linear and nonlinear and other statistical analyses were conducted using the programs of SAS Release 6.12 (SAS Institute, 1996).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Adsorption Isotherms of Copper and its Effect on Soil pH and Proton Release

Adsorption of Cu2+ increased steeply with Cu2+ concentration in the equilibrium solution at the low concentration range $(0-10 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$, then the slope of the isotherm decreased at equilibrium Cu²⁺ concentrations > 10 mg L⁻¹ (Fig. 1 and Table 2), possibly because Cu²⁺ adsorption in soils involves multiple adsorbing sites (McBride, 1995; Alcacio et al., 2001). The REQ soil adsorbed more Cu2+ than the RAR soil at the same Cu²⁺ equilibrium concentrations. At the highest level of added Cu²⁺ (2000 mg Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹ soil), the RAR soil adsorbed approximately 50% of the applied Cu²⁺ and the REQ soil adsorbed more than 68% of the applied Cu^{2+} (Table 2), suggesting that the REQ soil might not reach its maximum adsorption even at the designed highest Cu2+ loading. The difference in Cu2+ adsorption between the two soils may be attributed to the higher concentrations of organic matter (approximately 50% higher), clay, and exchangeable bases, and higher CEC





Table 2. Characteristics of Cu^{2+} adsorption and desorption with 1 mol NH₄Ac L⁻¹ (pH 5.0) in two red acidic soils.[†]

		Cu ²⁺ de NH44	Cu ²⁺ desorbed with 1 mol NH₄Ac L ⁻¹ (pH 5.0)	
Cu ²⁺ added	Cu ²⁺ adsorbed‡	Total§	First extraction¶	
mg kg ⁻¹ soil				
0	RAR	soil		
25	100	61.00	82.91	
50	99.69	73.05	83.46	
125	93.34	87.94	84.56	
250	87.08	89.98	86.15	
500	77.55	90.96	87.57	
1000	65.05	94.55	89.18	
2000	50.27	93.47	90.88	
	REQ :	soil		
25	100	85.42	75.56	
50	100	86.58	75.64	
125	100	89.05	78.26	
250	98.00	89.14	79.60	
500	93.78	91.18	81.64	
1000	84.65	92.41	83.88	
2000	68.80	92.49	86.00	

RAR soil = red soil developed on Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed, siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept), REQ soil = red soil developed on Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolintic thermic plinthite Aquult).
 Percentage of the adsorbed Cu²⁺ in the total applied Cu²⁺.

§ Percentage of the desorbed Cu²⁺ in the total applied Cu²⁺.

total adsorbed Cu^{2+} . ¶ Percentage of the desorbed Cu^{2+} by the first extraction in the total

desorbed Cu²⁺.

and soil pH of the REQ soil, as compared with the RAR soil (Table 1). These results were in agreement with previous reports (Padmanabham, 1983; Wu, 1989; Atanassova, 1995; Wang et al., 1995; Atanassova and Okazaki, 1997).

Copper adsorption in both soils was well described by the Freundlich $(q = k_F Cb)$ and Langmuir $(q = k_F Cb)$ $k_{\rm L}M_{\rm A}C/1 + k_{\rm L}C$) adsorption models. The correlation coefficients (r^2) were 0.98 for the RAR soil and 0.96 for the REQ soil with the Langmuir model, but were 0.99 for both soils with the Freundlich model. The Freundlich model gave a better fit with the adsorption data than the Langmuir model. This result was consistent with the conclusion of Barrow (1987) that in variable-charge soils the Freundlich model better describes the adsorption behavior of heavy metals than the Langmuir model. The physical meaning of $k_{\rm F}$ and b parameters from the Freundlich and $k_{\rm L}$ from the Langmuir equation is not well defined. However, the monolayer maximum adsorption (M_A) from the Langmuir equation seems useful for comparing potential adsorption capacity of different soils and soil components (Sparke, 1995). The M_A value (mmol Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹ soil) was 25.90 for the REQ and 20.17 for the RAR soil. The difference in M_A values is consistent with the difference in Cu²⁺ adsorption between the two soils.

Adsorption of Cu^{2+} significantly decreased soil pH (Fig. 2). Greater decrease in pH was observed in the RAR soil than the REQ soil at low to medium adsorbed Cu^{2+} levels (<10.9 mmol Cu kg⁻¹), but the reverse was true at high levels of adsorbed Cu^{2+} (Fig. 2). This indicates that different mechanisms may be involved in Cu^{2+} adsorption at different levels of Cu^{2+} loading and between the two soils. The maximum decrease in pH was about 0.8 unit in the REQ soil and 0.6 unit in the RAR soil. The decrease in soil pH (Δ pH) was linearly related



Fig. 2. The pH changes and proton release in relation to Cu^{2+} adsorption in the two red soils. RAR, red soil developed on Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept); REQ, red soil developed on Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolinitic thermic plinthite Aquult).

with the amounts of Cu^{2+} adsorbed for the REQ soil ($r^2 = 0.99$, P < 0.01), but this relationship was curvilinear for the RAR soil ($R^2 = 0.98$, P < 0.01) (Fig. 2). At high levels of adsorbed Cu^{2+} , pH decrease became less in the RAR soil, probably because of approaching maximum adsorption and limited availability of H⁺ (Table 2). The pH–Cu adsorption relationship from this study is consistent with previous findings by Atanassova and Okazaki (1997) and Speir et al. (1999). However, the REQ soil did not seem to reach the maximum Cu^{2+} adsorption even at the highest levels of added Cu^{2+} (2000 mg Cu^{2+} kg⁻¹ soil) (Fig. 1 and Table 2). If higher amounts of Cu^{2+} had been applied, the same curvilinear response might have occurred in the REQ soil, too.

There was a quadratic relationship between proton release and Cu²⁺ adsorption (Fig. 2). Minimal amounts of protons were released at low Cu^{2+} adsorption (<8.08 mmol kg⁻¹ for the REQ soil and 1.95 mmol kg⁻¹ for the RAR soil), but the release of protons exponentially increased with increasing amount of Cu2+ adsorbed, especially in the RAR soil, which contained more exchangeable H⁺ and Al³⁺ (Fig. 2). These results indicate that both cation exchange and inner-sphere surface complexation reactions might have been involved in Cu²⁺ adsorption and other exchangeable cations such as K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ were probably replaced by Cu²⁺ earlier than H⁺ and Al³⁺. It is interesting to note that the RAR soil that adsorbed less Cu²⁺ released more protons than the REQ soil for the same amount of Cu²⁺ adsorbed, especially at high Cu2+ adsorption saturation (Fig. 2). This could be attributed to the greater amounts of Fe oxides and exchangeable Al and lower pH in the RAR soil. As a result, the RAR soil has more hydroxylated surfaces, which are highly protonated at low pH (<4.5) than the REQ soil. Therefore, more protons were released in the RAR soil because of more Cu2+ being specifically adsorbed onto positively charged sites $(M-OH_2^{-})$, as compared with the REO soil. Basta and Tabatabai (1992) also inferred in their paper that at low heavy metal loadings, heavy metals might replace adsorbed Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ because they have less affinity to soil constituents than Al3+. However, at higher heavy metal loadings, exchange reactions between heavy metals and Al³⁺ might happen, followed by hydrolysis of Al^{3+} and a decrease in solution pH, especially in soils that have significant amounts of exchangeable acidity and exchangeable Al^{3+} .

The number of protons released per Cu²⁺ adsorbed increased with increasing initial Cu²⁺ concentrations and more protons per Cu²⁺ adsorbed were released in the RAR soil than the REQ soil (Fig. 3). The relationship between H⁺ to Cu²⁺ ratio and initial Cu²⁺ concentration was sigmoidal for the RAR soil, but was quadratic for the REQ soil (Fig. 3). At the highest Cu²⁺ level, the H⁺ to Cu²⁺ ratio reached up to 2.57 for the RAR soil and 1.12 for the REQ soil. Basta and Tabatabai (1992) did not have the same observation for the two permanent-charge soils with four cropping systems in their study. They obtained an H⁺ to Cu²⁺ ratio of <1.0 from the slope of log₁₀ K_d vs. pH of the equilibrium solution at the rate of 2000 μM Cu²⁺ (equal to 3175 mg



Fig. 3. Effect of initial Cu²⁺ concentration on proton release per Cu²⁺ adsorbed (H⁺ to Cu²⁺ ratio) in the two red soils. RAR, red soil developed on Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept); REQ, red soil developed on Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolinitic thermic plinthite Aquult).

 Cu^{2+} kg⁻¹ soil). Wang et al. (1995) obtained similar findings with the clay fraction from a red soil, the ratio close to 1.0 at 6270 mg Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹ soil clay. The difference in H⁺ to Cu²⁺ ratio between the reported value by Wang et al. (1995) and our results may be caused by the difference between pure clay and whole soil and different calculation approaches used.

Theoretically, 1 mole of Cu²⁺ adsorbed can release 1 mole of protons if only a mono-dentate adsorption mechanism is involved, 2 moles of protons if a bidentate adsorption occurs on hydroxylated surfaces or through cation exchange reaction (one Cu²⁺ replacing two H⁺) on exchange complex (Padmanabham, 1983), and three moles of protons if a concurrent reaction of Cu2+ hydrolysis and a bidentate adsorption of Cu²⁺ and/or hydroxyl Cu happens on the hydroxylated surfaces of oxides (Sumner, 1998). Adsorption of Cu^{2+} in the REQ soil agreed with the bidentate adsorption or cation exchange mechanism. However, in the RAR soil more than 2 moles of protons were released per mole of Cu²⁺ adsorbed at 2000 mg Cu2+ kg-1 soil added. A number of factors might contribute to the increased proton release. First of all, copper ion can form a stable complex with more than two acidic functional groups of humus, such as -COO (Stevenson and Fitch, 1981), especially at low pH (<5.0) (Schnitzer and Khan, 1978). In those cases, one Cu²⁺ may replace more than two H⁺. However, in our case, since the RAR soil contains less organic matter than the REQ soil, the chelation of Cu²⁺ with soil organic matter may not explain more H⁺ release per Cu²⁺ adsorbed in the RAR soil. Secondly, for many heavy metals such as Zn, their concurrent hydrolysis and a bidentate adsorption on oxides or hydroxides can release three H⁺ into solution (Kinniburg, 1983). Copper may undergo similar reactions. The RAR soil contained greater amounts of Fe and Al oxides and had lower pH. and consequently, more Cu²⁺ might be adsorbed onto surfaces of Fe and Al oxides through the above-stated mechanisms, thereby releasing more protons per Cu²⁺ adsorbed, as compared with the REQ soil at the highest level of Cu2+ added. In addition, hydrolysis of aluminum ions (mono or polymerized forms) after Cu2+ replaced them might provide additional protons. Molecular studies will be needed to identify the mechanisms of H⁺-Cu²⁺ exchange stoichiometry.



Fig. 4. Effect of initial Cu^{2+} concentration on Cu^{2+} distribution coefficient (K_d) in the two red soils. RAR, red soil developed on Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept); REQ, red soil developed on Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolinitic thermic plinthite Aquult).

Distribution Coefficient of Copper

The distribution coefficient (K_d) is defined as the ratio of adsorbed Cu^{2+} to dissolved Cu^{2+} . This parameter reflects the overall copper-surface affinity (Atanassova, 1995; Wang et al., 1995). The REQ soil had slightly higher K_d than the RAR soil (Fig. 4). The K_d values at very low levels of added Cu^{2+} (<125 mg Cu^{2+} kg⁻¹ soil) were not measured because the added Cu²⁺ was almost completely adsorbed (Table 2) and Cu²⁺ concentrations in the equilibrium solution were below detection limits. The K_d values decreased exponentially with increasing initial Cu²⁺ concentrations in both soils (Fig. 4). This can be attributed to the high affinity of Cu2+ for those highly selective sites (specific adsorption) at low Cu²⁺ concentrations, followed by adsorption of Cu onto those less-selective sites at high Cu2+ loadings (nonspecific adsorption) (Basta and Tabatabai, 1992). Lehmann and Harter (1984) reported that Cu²⁺ was mainly adsorbed onto low binding energy sites at Cu²⁺ rates exceeding 100 mg Cu kg⁻¹ soil in a comparable soil. These sites can adsorb Cu²⁺ through electrostatic forces or by forming Type A or Type B ternary surface complexes (Alcacio et al., 2001). In this study, added Cu^{2+} levels (0, 25, 50, 125, 250, 500, 1000, or 2000 mg Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹ soil) were mostly above this level and therefore, adsorption of Cu^{2+} in the two red soils was mainly involved with the low binding energy adsorbing sites. The REQ soil had a greater concentration of organic matter, larger external surface, and higher pH and CEC (Table 1), and thus adsorbed more Cu^{2+} than the RAR soil.

Desorption of Adsorbed Copper

In this study, 1 mol L^{-1} NH₄Ac (pH 5.0) was used for desorption of the adsorbed Cu²⁺ in the two soils based on the assumption that the amount of Cu desorbed in the NH₄Ac may be more closely related to Cu uptake of plants than in the CaCl₂ solution (Sakal et al., 1984). In addition, the acetate anion has the –COO functional group, which has a strong affinity for Cu²⁺ (Stevenson and Fitch, 1981). This functional group can form soluble organic–Cu²⁺ complexes to enhance desorption of adsorbed Cu²⁺ in soils with low organic matter contents and prevent readsorption of released Cu²⁺ (Schramel et al., 2000).

Most of the adsorbed Cu^{2+} in the soils was readily desorbed by the NH₄Ac. After five successive extractions, 61 to 95% of the total adsorbed Cu^{2+} in the RAR soil was desorbed. The corresponding values for the REQ soil were 85 to 92% of the total adsorbed Cu²⁺ (Fig. 5 and Table 2). From 8 to 39% of the adsorbed Cu^{2+} in both soils was not recovered by the NH₄Ac extraction. The proportion of the adsorbed Cu²⁺ that was not desorbed (as percent residual Cu²⁺) increased with decreasing concentration of adsorbed Cu²⁺ (Fig. 5), implying that a portion of the Cu^{2+} was adsorbed with a high binding energy, and may not be available to plants. The proportion of the tightly bonded Cu²⁺ was significantly higher in the RAR soil than in the REO soil at low Cu²⁺ loadings. At application rates \leq 125 mg Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹ soil, the residual fraction accounted for 12 to 39% and 11 to 15% of adsorbed Cu²⁺, respectively, in the RAR and the REQ soil. At application rates > 125 mg Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹ soil, neither the RAR nor the REO soil could retain more than 10% of the adsorbed Cu²⁺ after five successive extractions with 1 mol



Fig. 5. Fractions of five successive extractions and residual Cu²⁺ in the total adsorbed Cu²⁺. The terms D1 to D5 represent the fraction of desorbed Cu²⁺ from each of the five successive extractions and the residual Cu²⁺ represents the fraction of adsorbed Cu²⁺ that is not recovered by the five successive extractions with 1 mol L⁻¹ NH₄Ac (pH 5.0). RAR, red soil developed on Arenaceous rock (clayey, mixed siliceous thermic typic Dystrochrept); REQ, red soil developed on Quaternary red earths (clayey, kaolinitic thermic plinthite Aqualt).

NH₄Ac L⁻¹ (pH 5.0) (Table 2). These findings agreed with the results of Lehmann and Harter (1984) that Cu^{2+} was adsorbed onto high binding energy sites only at the added Cu^{2+} levels < 100 or 125 mg Cu^{2+} kg⁻¹ soil.

CONCLUSIONS

Adsorption of Cu²⁺ near the native pH in two acidic soils dominated by oxide minerals was well described by both the Freundlich and the Langmuir models with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.96 to 0.99 (P <0.01). Behavior of Cu²⁺ adsorption-desorption was related to soil properties. Under acidic conditions (pH 4 to 5.5), the RAR soil, which contains greater amounts of exchangeable Al and Fe oxides and has a lower pH, had a lower adsorption capacity but a higher affinity for Cu²⁺ than the REQ soil. Adsorption of Cu²⁺ resulted in significant decrease in pH. The pH decrease caused by Cu²⁺ adsorption was more apparent in the RAR soil than in the REO soil at low to medium adsorption saturation. The reverse was true at higher levels of added Cu2+. More protons per Cu2+ adsorbed were released in the RAR soil than the REQ soil. The RAR soil released 2.57 moles of protons for adsorption of 1 mole of Cu²⁺, as compared with 1.12 in the REQ soil at the highest level of Cu²⁺ loading. The 1 mol L⁻¹ NH₄Ac (pH 5.0) could release 61 to 95% and 85 to 92% of adsorbed Cu²⁺ from the RAR soil and the REQ soil, respectively. At low levels of adsorbed Cu^{2+} (≤ 125 mg Cu²⁺ kg⁻¹ soil), the RAR soil could retain more adsorbed Cu²⁺ than the REQ soil, which were not desorbed by five successive extractions with 1 mol NH₄-Ac L⁻¹.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was, in part, supported by an Outstanding Young Scientist Fund grant (No. 40025104) and a research grant (No. 20177020) from The Natural Science Foundation of China. The authors thank Mr. Bingliang Zhu for his assistance in soil sampling.

REFERENCES

- Adediran, S.A., and J.R. Kramer. 1987. Copper adsorption on clay, iron-manganese oxide and organic fractions along a salinity gradient. Appl. Geochem, 2:213–216.
- Alcacio, T.E., D. Hesterberg, W.Q. Chou, J.D. Martin, S. Beauchemin, and D.E. Sayers. 2001. Molecular scale characteristics of Cu(11) bonding in goethite-humate complexes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65:1355-1366.
- Aoyama, M., and S. Itaya. 1995. Effects of copper on the metabolism of ¹⁴C-labelled glucose in soil in relation to amendment with organic materials. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 41:245–252.
- Aoyama, M., S. Itaya, and M. Otowa. 1993. Effects of copper on the decomposition of plant residues, microbial biomass and β-glucosidase activity on soils. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 39:557–566.
- Atanassova, I.D. 1995. Adsorption and desorption of Cu at high equilibrium concentrations by soil and clay samples from Bulgaria. Environ. Pollut. 87:17–21.
- Atanassova, I.D., and M. Okazaki. 1997. Adsorption-desorption characteristics of high levels of copper in soil clay fractions. Water Air Soil Pollut. 98:213-228.
- Baker, D.E. 1990. Copper. p. 151-176. *In* B.J. Alloway (ed.) Heavy metals in soils. Blackie & Sons Ltd., London.
- Barrow, N.J. (ed.) 1987. Reactions with variable-charge soils. Martinus Nijhoff Publ., Dordrecht, the Netherlands.

- Basta, N.T., and M.A. Tabatabai. 1992. Effect of cropping systems on adsorption of metals by soils: II. Effect of pH. Soil Sci. 153:195–204.
- Bertoni, J.C., F.S.R. Holanda, J.G. de Carvalho, A.E. Neto Furtini, and M.P. de Assis. 2000. Effect of copper on growth of flooded rice and accuracy of the extractor DTPA in predicting copper availability. Ciencia-e-Agrotecnologia 24:62–73. (Abstract only).
- Bertsch, P., and J.C. Seaman. 1999. Characterization of complex mineral assemblages: Implications for contaminant transport and environmental remediation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96:3350–3357.
- Brun, L.A., J. Maillet, P. Hinsinger, and M. Pepin. 2001. Evaluation of copper availability to plants in copper-contaminated vineyard soils. Environ. Pollut, 111:293–302.
- Buffle, J. (ed.) 1988. Complexation reactions in aquatic systems: An analytical approach. Ellis Horwood Publ., Chichester, UK.
- Cavallaro, N., and M.B. McBride. 1984. Zinc and copper sorption and fixation by an acid soil clay: Effect of selective dissolutions. Soil Sci. Soc. Am, J. 48:1050-1054.
- Delas, J. 1963. La toxicité du cuivre accumulé dans les sols. Agrochimica 7:258–288.
- Grazebisz, W., W.Z. Kocialkowski, and B. Chudzinski. 1997. Copper geochemistry and availability in cultivated soils contaminated by a copper smelter. J. Geochem. Explor. 58:301–307.
- Kinniburg, D.G. 1983. The H⁺/M²⁺ exchange stoichiometry of calcium and zinc adsorption by ferrihydrate. J. Soil Sci. 34:759–768.
- Lehmann, R., and R. Harter. 1984. Assessment of copper-soil bond strength by desorption kinetics. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 48:866–870.
- Liu, G., N. Jiang, L. Zhang, and Z. Liu (ed.) 1996. Methods of soil physicochemical analysis and profile description. Chinese Standard Press, Beijing.
- Lu, R. (ed.) 2000. Methods for soil and agricultural chemistry. Chinese Agric. Press, Beijing.
- Department of Environment, The Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food. 1993. Review of the rules for sewage sludge application to agricultural land: Soil fertility aspects of potentially toxic elements. Report of Independent Scientific Committee. PB 1561. MADD Publ., London.
- McBride, M.B. 1981. Forms and distribution of copper in solid and solution phases of soil. p. 25–45. In J.F. Loneragan et al. (ed.) Copper in soils and plants. Academic Press, Sydney, Australia.
- McBride, M.B. 1994. Environmental chemistry of soils. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
- McBride, M.B. 1995. Toxic metal accumulation from agricultural use of sludge—Are USEPA regulations protective? J. Environ. Qual. 24:5-18.
- McLaren, R.G., and D.V. Crawford. 1974. Studies on soil copper: 111. Isotopically exchangeable copper in soils. J. Soil Sci. 25:111–119.
- McLaren, R.G., D.S. Hogg, and R.S. Swift. 1990. Some factors, affecting the availability of native and applied soil copper in New Zealand soils. For. Ecol. Manage. 37:131–142.

Mehlich, A. 1984. Mehlich 3 soil test extractant: A modification of Mehlich 2 extractant. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 15:1409-1416. Microsoft. 2000. Excel 2000. Microsoft, Redmond, WA.

- Mocquot, B., J. Vangronsveld, H. Clijsters, and M. Mench. 1996. Copper toxicity in young maize (*Zea mays L.*) plants: Effects on growth, mineral and chlorophyll contents, and enzyme activities. Plant Soil 182:287–300.
- Padmanabham, M. 1983. Adsorption-desorption behaviour of copper (11) at the goethite-solution interface. Aust. J. Soil Res. 21:309–320.
- Reuther, W., and P.F. Smith. 1953. Effects of high copper content of sandy soil on growth of citrus seedlings. Soil Sci. 75:219–224.
- Sakal, R., A.P. Singh, B.P. Singh, and R.B. Sinha. 1984. Evaluation of some chemical extractants for predicting response of wheat grown in pots to copper in sub-Hlicuolayan soils. J. Agric. Sci. (Cambridge) 102:659–666.
- SAS Institute. 1996. SAS Release 6.12. SAS Inst., Cary, NC.
- Sauve, S., M.B. McBride, W.A. Norvell, and W.H. Hendershot. 1997. Copper solubility and speciation of in situ contaminated soils: Effects of copper level, pH and organic matter. Water Air Soil Pollut. 100:133-149.
- Schnitzer, M., and S.U. Khan (ed.) 1978. Soil organic matter. Elsevier Sci., New York.
- Schramel, O., B. Michalke, and A. Kettrup. 2000. Study of the copper distribution in contaminated soils of hop fields by single and sequential extraction procedures. Sci. Total Environ. 263:11–22.

- Sparks, D.L. 1995. Environmental soil chemistry. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Speir, T.W., H.A. Kettles, H.J. Percival, and A. Parshotam. 1999. Is soil acidification the cause of biochemical responses when soils are amended with heavy metal salts? Soil Biol. Biochem. 31:1953–1961.
- Stevenson, F.J., and A. Fitch. 1981. Reactions with organic matter. p. 69–95. In J.F. Loneragan et al. (ed.) Copper in soils and plants. Academic Press, Sydney, Australia.
- Sumner, M.E. 1998. Soil chemistry: Past, present, and future. p. 1–38. In P.M. Huang (ed.) Future prospects for soil chemistry. SSSA Spec. Publ. 55. SSSA, Madison, WI.
- Tessier, A., P.G.C. Campbell, and M. Bisson. 1979. Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals. Anal. Chem. 51:844–851.
- Tinsley, J. 1950. The determination of organic carbon in soils by

dichromate mixtures. p. 161–164. In Trans. 4th Int. Congr. Soil Sci. Hoitsema Brothers, Amsterdam.

- USEPA. 1999. The EPA Region III risk-based concentration table. USEPA, Philadelphia, PA.
- Wang, W., Z. Shao, and Q. He. 1995. Study on adsorption affinities of Co, Cu, Pb and Zn for different red soil clays. Acta Pedologica Sinica 32:167–178.
- Wu, M. 1989. Study on the specific adsorption of copper ion by soils and its characteristics. Acta Pedologica Sinica 26:31–41.
- Wu, M., and J. Chen. 1983. Preliminary study on the specific adsorption characteristics of copper ion by soils. Chinese J. Environ. Chem. 2:61–67.
- Xie, Z. 1996. Chemical balance of soil copper. Adv. Environ. Sci. 4: 1–23.
- Xu, Z.Y., and J.F. Chen. 1980. Assay of amorphous Fe oxide in soils. Chinese J. Soil 6:32–34.
- Yu, T. 1981. Variable charge soil. Chinese J. Soil 5:40-45.



COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

TITLE: Adsorption-Desorption Behavior of Copper at Contaminated Levels in RedSoils from China SOURCE: Journal of Environmental Quality 31 no4 Jl/Ag 2002 WN: 0218202385008

The magazine publisher is the copyright holder of this article and it is reproduced with permission. Further reproduction of this article in violation of the copyright is prohibited. To contact the publisher: http://www.agronomy.org/.

Copyright 1982-2002 The H.W. Wilson Company. All rights reserved.